REMARKS

Favorable consideration and allowance of the claims are respectfully

requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Applicants submit herewith a substitute specification to correct some

typographical errors in the specification. Also, paragraph numbers are added to

the specification.

Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated

by Ahrens et al (US 6,823,255).

Claims 1, 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious

over Ahrens.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Ahrens

in view of Ando et al (US 6,230,098).

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections as set forth below.

Claims 1, 2 and 8 are amended to further define the claimed navigation

New claims 14-16 claim a navigation device corresponding to the method.

navigation method of claims 1, 2 and 8. New claims 17-19 are computer-readable

medium claims corresponding to method claims 1, 2 and 8. Support for these

claim amendments and new claims is present, for example, in FIGS. 22, 19 and

20; paragraphs [0174]-[0184], [0150]-[0169] and [0210]-[0211]. Claims 6, 9 and

11 are canceled.

Page 13 of 17

Ahrens is directed to a system for distributing updated and/or upgraded

navigation data to be stored on storage media in vehicle navigation systems. A

plurality of local repositories are located in a geographical area. Each of the local

repositories includes updated versions of navigation data for in-vehicle

navigation systems. The navigation data may include geographical data files

and navigation application programs. Owners of vehicles having in-vehicle

navigation systems may visit any of the local repositories from time to time to

obtain updated versions of the navigation data for their in-vehicle navigation

systems. Subscriptions for obtaining updated navigation data would be available

to owners of in-vehicle navigation systems entitling them to obtain updated

navigation data from the local repositories. See Summary of the Invention.

Applicant submits that Ahrens does not teach or suggest all of the

limitations of amended claim 1. In particular, it does not disclose "the map data

being managed in units of a mesh." The reference does not describe a mesh at

all. Thus, amended claim 1 is patentable over Ahrens.

Also, Ahrens does not disclose "displaying a route and a map including the

route, and displaying along the route one or more meshes including more data

judged to be updated based upon the route, when the route-based option is

selected from the options in the menu on display," as claimed in amended claim

As mentioned above, the reference does not disclose the use of meshes.

Moreover, with regard to a displayed menu, Ahrens simply discloses that its

Page 14 of 17

"local repository preferably includes a graphical menu system including prompts

that default to selections the local operator may want to choose, or those

previously chosen by the vehicle owner." Col. 7, line 66 - col. 8, line 3. Such a

general disclosure does not correspond to the specific features of claim 1. Thus,

claim 1 is patentable over Ahrens for this additional reason.

Further, Applicant submits that Ahrens does not teach or suggest making

a display in which a data update instruction can be inputted and obtaining

update data along the route when the data update instruction is input. Rather

than obtaining update data along the route when the data update instruction is

input, Ahrens discloses a system in which a user obtains updated navigation

information when the user's vehicle is located at a local repository and the user

inserts his storage device 76 into a slot in the local repository. In other words,

the local repository provides the updated navigation information when the user

stops and inserts his storage device into the local repository. See col. 5, line 52 -

col. 6, line 12. This disclosure does not correspond to the features of amended

claim 1. Thus, claim 1 is patentable over Ahrens for this further reason.

Claim 2 is patentable over Ahrens due to its dependence from claim 1.

Additionally, Ahrens fails to teach or suggest the feature of amended claim 2

that the meshes are displayed so that a mesh is selectable for updating among

the meshes displayed along the route.

Page 15 of 17

limitations of amended claim 8. In particular, it does not disclose "a step of

prompting an input of at least one selected option in an update category menu

prepared in advance and displaying the specific area distinguishably when the

specific area is judged to have update map data based upon the input of the

selected option." With reference to original claim 8, the Office Action refers to

the Abstract, FIGS. 9-11 and the related text of the reference. The cited portions

of the reference, however, only refer to updating the geographical data if the

local repository has a newer version of the data set than the vehicle. There is no

disclosure of displaying the specific area distinguishably when the specific area

is judged to have update map data, based upon the input of the selected option.

Therefore, claim 8 is patentable over Ahrens.

Claims 14-19 are patentable for reasons analogous to those for claims 1, 2

and 8, respectively.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submits that the application is in

condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited.

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in

general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this

should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

Page 16 of 17

Serial No. 10/521,327

Amendment Dated: March 17, 2009

Reply to Office Action Mailed: October 17, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 029267.55837US

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #029267.55837US).

Respectfully submitted,

March 17, 2009

Jeffrey D. Sanok

Registration No. 32,169

Cameron W. Beddard

Registration No. 46,545

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300 Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500

Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

JDS:CWB:njr dn#7434796\_1